SEMANTIC CLASSIFICATION OF THE SYNTAX ANTONYMS OF UZBEK LANGUAGE

Abstract: The article discusses issues related to the criteria for determining syntactic antonyms in the Uzbek language and the formation of syntactic antonyms in sentences and their classification. It gives linguistic criteria that form the syntactic antonyms in the Uzbek language, also defines the essence of lexical and syntactic antonyms: types of syntactic antonyms are analyzed and methods for classifying the syntactic antonyms of the Uzbek language are proposed.
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For many years, the semantic structure of lexemes, as synonymy, homonymy and antonymy, as well as polysemy was considered an object of the lexical-semantic aspect. But in recent years it has been revealed that these phenomena are multifaceted (multifaceted) and can manifest themselves at different levels of the language. And this is connected with a new approach to the study of linguistic phenomena with the point of view of the anthropocentric paradigm that the above phenomena can be considered together with the human basis, that is, the transformation in the human mind of the picture of the world as an alternation of language, linguistic knowledge and speech.

In Uzbek linguistics, the identification of antonyms has been sufficiently studied, but it should be noted that in the scientific works of Z. Mirzaakhmedova [6], M. Mirtozhiyev [7], R. Shukurov [12], antonymy and homonymy are considered from the point of view of the lexical-semantic aspect, and M.Dzhurabaeva [4] and Y.Tadzhiev [10] study their morphological features.

Based on this, it can be noted that in most cases when these phenomena were studied, the main attention is paid to the lexical-semantic or formal-structural study of each phenomenon separately. In contrast to the studies that we intend to focus on, the study of the phenomenon of syntactic antonymy, homonymy and polysemy takes place at the highest level of the language.

Main body

Antonymy is considered not only at the lexical level of the language, but is also considered a universal semantic category, which is considered at the morphemic, morphological and syntactic levels of the language. At each level of the language, an antonym has its own language features. For example, the main function of syntax sis antonyms is to highlight information about the opposite entities of the subject (addressee). N.B.Boeva, who studied syntactic antonyms on the materials of the English language, argues that the opposition of syntactic antonyms...
In linguistics, the problem of syntactic opposites such as antonyms relatively little studied. In this matter, attention should be paid to the works of Russian scientists T.B. Fastovskaya, N.V. Galatov, N.B. Boyeva, who conducted studies using examples of Romano-Germanic languages [11, 3, 2]. In these works, the linguistic essence of the phenomenon of antonyms is characterized, and also syntactic antonymy is analyzed in phrases, simple and complex sentences, related texts and language units that reveal it.

In Uzbek linguistics, the problem of syntactic antonyms requires special study both in semantic and in pragmatic aspects. This article discusses issues related to the criteria for determining the syntactic antonyms in the Uzbek language, the formation of syntactic antonyms in sentences and their classification [5,9].

In the Uzbek language, as in other languages, the syntactic antonymy in structure refers to a single paradigm, and also in semantics it is formed in oppositional pairs - phrases, simple and complex sentences, and between their parts [9]. Based on observations in the Uzbek language, we can distinguish the following linguistic criteria that determine syntactic antonymy:

1. Syntactic antonymy requires an oppositional antonymic meaning expressed through syntactic units.
2. In its structure, syntactic antonymy requires unification in a single paradigm according to the value of opposition units
3. Syntactic antonymy requires semantic relationships of paired syntactic units.
4. Syntactic antonymy requires a relationship of conditionally interconnected opposite elements of the phenomenon

Based on these criteria, it can be concluded that syntactic antonymy is based on semantic opposition and is structurally formed between paired units uniting into a single paradigm.

The formation of syntactic antonyms is manifested with the help of lexical, morphological units, as well as with the help of some syntactic constructions that create opposite relationships.

In the Uzbek language, lexical antonyms are important in the formation of syntactic antony. When used in syntactic units of lexical antonyms, the latter create opposition relations and form a syntactic antonymy. In this case, it will be necessary to distinguish antonyms at the lexical and syntactic level of the language.

In addition, in the Uzbek language, syntactic antonymy is created using various syntactic constructions reflecting opposite semantic relations. For instance:

- Нечиқ қондир, опой? – чийилдаг сўради яна бирық.
- Бир қон ... иди ... етимчалар назисбагдан қайриб опекилганлар.
- Анчайди ... ман санамаган ... бир даста эди!.. (X. Do’stmuhammad).

In this microtext, syntactic antonymy is formed using sentences бир қон ... иди – анчайде (бир даста эди).

In the Uzbek language, syntactic antonyms in terms of structural composition and semantic structure can be classified according to whether they are ready-made in the language or formed in the speech process.

According to the sign of a ready-made existence in a language or formation in a speech process, syntactic antonyms can be divided into the following types:

1. Syntactic antonyms used as stamp units in a language. For instance: Яхши борик! – Яхши колим! Ҳайрлы том! – Ҳайрлы кеч!
2. Syntactic antonyms that form in the speech process. For instance: Бола ҳарханча қилиди. – Бола тициди.

In the Uzbek language, syntactic antonyms can also be classified on a semantic basis. The semantic types of syntactic antonyms are divided on the basis of the predicate semantics, which are considered both the grammatical and the semantic center of the sentence structure. The authors of the book “Ўзбек тилининг мазмуний синтаксиси” (“Semantic syntax of the Uzbek language”) also recommend defining semantic-grammatical models and generalized meanings of sentences based on the classification of predicates [8]. But in some cases, when determining the semantic type of antonymic sentences, only the main predicate is not enough; in these cases, the ratio of the arguments to the main predicate is important. For example, in sentences “Бошлай каптиқўл одам. – Бышлай бўшанг одам” antonymic relationships are formed using predicate formations каптиқўл одам – бўшанг одам.

In the Uzbek language, syntactic antonyms from the point of view of semantics can be divided into the following types [5, 9]:

1. Characterizing syntactic antonyms. Such syntactic antonyms are characterized by oppositional features of objects. In syntactic antonyms of this kind, the following internal semantic forms are distinguished:
   a) syntactic antonyms, reflecting the oppositional characteristic features of people and
animals: Янги ходим камлаган. – Янги ходим сереган;
Ит ююми. – Ит копалган;
b) opposition states associated with people and animals: syntactic antonyms that reflect the natural state, physical state, psychological state, social, economic, etc.: У қанниқ олад. – У бои олад; Қўл селим. – Қўл орқ.
s) syntactic antonyms reflecting opposing internal features and the external state of things: Бу кўрсиги қуладиган. – Бу кўрсиги қийлайдиган;
d) syntactic antonyms, reflecting the opposites of form, volume, color, taste, smell of things, etc.: Йўл узун. – Йўл қисқа.

2. Syntactic antonyms that classify. Such syntactic antonyms reflect the classification of objects into opposite groups, classes, types. Inside the syntactic antonyms of this type, the following semantic types can be distinguished: a) syntactic antonyms reflecting opposing social groups of people; b) syntactic antonyms reflecting opposing species and classes of animals; b) syntactic antonyms, reflecting opposing types, types, varieties of things. For example, a sentence “Пайбилик – умуркани жонивор, чуваачанак – умурткаси” has an antonymic character and displays information about opposing classes of animals.

3. Quantitative syntactic antonyms. Such syntactic antonyms provide information about opposing quantitative meanings of objects. For instance: Илмалим кўп. – Илмалим кам. The syntactic antonyms of this type can be divided into the following types: a) syntactic antonyms representing an indefinite opposite amount; b) syntactic antonyms reflecting a certain opposite quantity.

4. Syntactic antonyms reflecting time. Such syntactic antonyms provide information about opposing temporal meanings of objects. For instance: Қийинен биринчи кун. – Қийинен сўнгги кун.

5. Syntactic antonyms reflecting a place. Such syntactic antonyms give information about opposing values of the place of objects. For instance: Мехмонлар иккарида. – Мезбонлар ташкарди.

6. Syntactic antonyms reflecting a dimension. Such syntactic antonyms give information about the opposite values of the weight, distance and volume of objects. For instance: Пахта дайлису бу ердан олис. – Пахта дайлису бу ерда қиқ.

7. Syntactic antonyms that reflect relationships. In such syntactic antonyms, one can see the opposite, that is, a positive or negative attitude and an emotionally expressive assessment of the speaker.

In accordance with this, the syntactic antonyms of this type can be divided into the following types:
a) syntactic antonyms reflecting opposite emotional relationships: Тасанно сиздек йиғитга! – Ҳайиф сиздек йишлатга!
b) syntactic antonyms reflecting opposing evaluative relationships: Бу шаҳар йиғимда бўлибди. – Бу шаҳар ёмон бўлибди;

8. Syntactic antonyms reflecting presence and absence. Such syntactic antonyms reflect presence or absence. For instance: Дарди ўй. – Дарди бор.

It should be noted here that the ways of expressing sentences, meaning denial and absence, are similar, but they are two different sentences. When defining syntactic antonyms, it is necessary to distinguish between these two types of sentences. For example, offers Ҳа! – Йўқ! when expressing an affirmation or denial, they do not form a syntactic antonyms, but when expressing presence and absence, they enter into antonymic relations.

For comparison, we show:
In the given example, the expressions Ҳа – Йўқ, being meanings of affirmation and negation, do not enter into mutual antonymic relations.
In this example, the expressions Ҳа – Йўқ are sentences reflecting the antonyms of presence and absence.

9. Syntactic antonyms that reflect opposing actions. Such syntactic antonyms reflect expressions showing general opposing actions based on implementation or non-fulfillment. The syntactic antonyms of this type can be divided into the following types:
a) syntactic antonyms representing an indefinite opposite amount: Самолёт пастлади. – Самолёт юқорилади;
b) syntactic antonyms reflecting the beginning and end of an action: Ўқиш бошлаганди. – Ўқиш туглади;
s) syntactic antonyms that reflect the opposite directions of action of the subject: Бола кийимни ичди. – Бола кийимни садо.
d) syntactic antonyms reflecting opposing natural phenomena, changes: Ёмғир ёди. – Ёмғир тириди. Йўл таради. – Йўл кенгайди. и др.

10. Syntactic antonyms reflecting opposite actions and various situations arising on their basis. The syntactic antonyms of this type can be divided into the following types:
a) antonymic sentences reflecting a mental state based on opposing actions: У гузабланди. – У хурсанд бўлди;
b) antonymical sentences reflecting a physical state based on opposing actions: У касал бўлди. – У соғайди;
s) antonymical sentences reflecting a biological state based on opposing actions: У ёшарди. – У кексайди;
The object of the article is syntactic antonymy. The main objective of the study is to identify the specificity and analysis of antonymy as a multifaceted linguistic phenomenon. In the study of syntactic antonyms, the methods of component and semantic-comparative analysis were used, and the scientific works of the studied antonyms in the lexical-comparative analysis were used, and the scientific antonyms, the methods of component and semantic linguistic phenomenon.

In our opinion, antonymy should be considered on the basis of the anthropocentric paradigm.

Conclusion
As a result of the study, it was found that antonymy is considered not only as an object of the lexical-semantic aspect, but also as a multi-aspect linguistic phenomenon that can be studied at the syntactic level. Based on the foregoing, we note the following conclusions:

1. Syntactic antonymy as a special type of opposition reflects the relationship between the form and content of syntactic units.
2. The semantic criterion is the main criterion for the definition of syntactic antonymy and is based on opposite semantic relations in the semantics of syntactic units.
3. In the Uzbek language, syntactic antonyms from the point of view of semantics can be divided into various semantic groups. Our proposed semantic classification is important in the semantic analysis of syntactic antonyms.
4. In our opinion, antonymy should be considered on the basis of the anthropocentric paradigm.
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