

Impact Factor:	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

SOI: [1.1/TAS](http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS) DOI: [10.15863/TAS](https://doi.org/10.15863/TAS)

International Scientific Journal
Theoretical & Applied Science

p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) e-ISSN: 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2022 Issue: 12 Volume: 116

Published: 26.12.2022 <http://T-Science.org>

Issue



Article



Denis Chemezov
 Vladimir Industrial College
 M.Sc.Eng., Corresponding Member of International Academy of Theoretical and Applied Sciences, Lecturer,
 Russian Federation
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2747-552X>
vic-science@yandex.ru

Andrey Karasyov
 Vladimir Industrial College
 Student, Russian Federation

Veronika Khlebnikova
 Vladimir Industrial College
 Student, Russian Federation

Vladislav Shalukhin
 Vladimir Industrial College
 Student, Russian Federation

Anastasiya Kobelkova
 Vladimir Industrial College
 Student, Russian Federation

Dmitriy Netsvetaev
 Vladimir Industrial College
 Student, Russian Federation

Andrey Volvyankin
 Vladimir Industrial College
 Student, Russian Federation

REFERENCE DATA OF PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ON THE SURFACES OF AIRFOILS HAVING THE NAMES BEGINNING WITH THE LETTER N (THE FIRST PART)

Abstract: The results of the computer calculation of air flow around the airfoils having the names beginning with the letter N are presented in the article. The contours of pressure distribution on the surfaces of the airfoils at angles of attack of 0, 15 and -15 degrees in conditions of the subsonic airplane flight speed were obtained.

Key words: the airfoil, the angle of attack, pressure, the surface.

Language: English

Citation: Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter N (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 12 (116), 801-892.

Soi: <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-12-116-72> **Doi:**  <https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2022.12.116.72>

Scopus ASCC: 1507.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

Introduction

Creating reference materials that determine the most accurate pressure distribution on the airfoil surfaces is an actual task of the airplane aerodynamics.

Materials and methods

The study of air flow around the airfoils was carried out in a two-dimensional formulation by means of the computer calculation in the *Comsol Multiphysics* program. The airfoils in the cross section were taken as objects of research [1-29]. In this work,

the airfoils having the names beginning with the letter *N* were adopted. Air flow around the airfoils was carried out at angles of attack (α) of 0, 15 and -15 degrees. Flight speed of the airplane in each case was subsonic. The airplane flight in the atmosphere was carried out under normal weather conditions. The geometric characteristics of the studied airfoils are presented in the Table 1. The geometric shapes of the airfoils in the cross section are presented in the Table 2.

Table 1. The geometric characteristics of the airfoils.

Airfoil name	Max. thickness	Max. camber	Leading edge radius	Trailing edge thickness
N 11	10.94% at 30.0% of the chord	5.81% at 30.0% of the chord	1.1658%	0.2%
N 22	12.37% at 30.0% of the chord	6.24% at 30.0% of the chord	1.4944%	0.4%
N 60	12.37% at 30.0% of the chord	6.22% at 30.0% of the chord	1.3634%	0.4%
N 60 R	12.37% at 30.0% of the chord	6.22% at 30.0% of the chord	1.3634%	0.4%
N 9	8.46% at 30.0% of the chord	4.23% at 30.0% of the chord	0.8619%	0.28%
N-10	11.22% at 30.0% of the chord	5.63% at 30.0% of the chord	1.159%	0.34%
N-12	10.46% at 30.0% of the chord	0.16% at 15.0% of the chord	1.0389%	0.0%
N-13	11.8% at 30.0% of the chord	0.11% at 95.0% of the chord	1.0996%	0.0%
N-14	11.48% at 30.0% of the chord	0.05% at 20.0% of the chord	1.2666%	0.0%
N16006PR	6.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.716%	0.12%
N16009PR	9.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7351%	0.18%
N16012PR	12.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.863%	0.24%
N16015PR	15.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.1201%	0.3%
N16018PR	18.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.4975%	0.36%
N16021PR	21.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.9829%	0.42%
N-22P	12.3% at 30.0% of the chord	6.25% at 30.0% of the chord	1.5091%	0.0%
N2311275	12.01% at 30.0% of the chord	1.99% at 15.0% of the chord	1.5022%	0.26%
N-24	17.02% at 30.0% of the chord	8.61% at 30.0% of the chord	2.6364%	0.0%
N631-012	12.0% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7847%	0.0%
N631-212	12.0% at 35.1% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.8224%	0.0%
N631A012	11.99% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7627%	0.05%
N631A412	11.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	0.81%	0.0%
N631A512	11.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.76% at 50.0% of the chord	0.8086%	0.0%
N631A612	11.99% at 35.0% of the chord	3.31% at 50.0% of the chord	0.8068%	0.0%
N632-215	15.0% at 35.1% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	1.299%	0.0%
N632-415	15.0% at 35.1% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	1.3216%	0.0%
N632-615	14.99% at 35.2% of the chord	3.31% at 50.0% of the chord	1.3124%	0.0%
N63A-010	9.99% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.5058%	0.042%
N641A012	11.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.741%	0.05%
N651-012	11.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.6745%	0.0%
N652-015	15.0% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.0637%	0.0%
N-7	6.65% at 30.0% of the chord	1.66% at 30.0% of the chord	0.5913%	0.0%
NA0006T	6.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7174%	0.0%
NA0012T	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.839%	0.0%
NA0018T	18.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	2.1417%	0.0%
NA010-34	10.0% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7304%	0.2%
NA012-63	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.4603%	0.24%
NA012-64	12.0% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.3344%	0.24%
NA012-B	12.0% at 25.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.0995%	0.24%
NA10	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	3.5% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5245%	0.0%
NA23009	9.01% at 30.0% of the chord	1.84% at 15.0% of the chord	0.9446%	0.2%
NA23012	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.76% at 20.0% of the chord	1.4742%	0.0%
NA23012F	12.01% at 30.0% of the chord	1.85% at 15.0% of the chord	2.7107%	0.26%
NA23112	12.01% at 30.0% of the chord	1.99% at 15.0% of the chord	1.5022%	0.26%
NA2R1-12	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	1.98% at 30.0% of the chord	1.4436%	0.0%
NA2R2-12	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	2.0% at 30.0% of the chord	1.4473%	0.0%
NA5	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	5.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.6476%	0.0%
NA6	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	3.5% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5656%	0.042%
NA63-009	8.89% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.4147%	0.0%
NA63-010	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.5248%	0.0%
NA63-206	6.0% at 35.0% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.2159%	0.0%

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

NA63-209	9.0% at 35.0% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.4296%	0.0%
NA63-210	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5473%	0.0%
NA63A006	5.97% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7136%	0.026%
NA63A410	9.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5753%	0.042%
NA63A411	10.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	0.6814%	0.046%
NA63A413	12.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	0.9539%	0.054%
NA63A414	13.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	1.1128%	0.058%
NA63A510	9.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.76% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5729%	0.042%
NA63A511	10.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.76% at 50.0% of the chord	0.6797%	0.046%
NA63A513	12.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.76% at 50.0% of the chord	0.9528%	0.054%
NA63A514	13.99% at 35.0% of the chord	2.76% at 50.0% of the chord	1.1118%	0.058%
NA63A610	9.99% at 35.0% of the chord	3.31% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5707%	0.042%
NA63A611	10.99% at 35.0% of the chord	3.31% at 50.0% of the chord	0.6777%	0.046%
NA63A613	12.99% at 35.0% of the chord	3.31% at 50.0% of the chord	0.9511%	0.054%
NA63A614	13.99% at 35.0% of the chord	3.31% at 50.0% of the chord	1.1101%	0.058%
NA64-006	5.98% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7135%	0.0%
NA64-009	8.98% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.4021%	0.0%
NA64-010	9.98% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.5116%	0.0%
NA641012	11.96% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7718%	0.0%
NA641112	9.97% at 40.0% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5768%	0.0%
NA64A010	9.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.4887%	0.042%
NA65-009	8.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 75.0% of the chord	0.3556%	0.0%
NA65-010	9.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.4447%	0.0%
NA66-018	18.0% at 45.0% of the chord	0.0% at 87.6% of the chord	1.7563%	0.0%
NA7	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	3.0% at 50.0% of the chord	0.6647%	0.0%
NA8	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	3.5% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5399%	0.0%
NA9	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	3.5% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5656%	0.042%
NACA 0006	6.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.4896%	0.126%
NACA 0007	7.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.6024%	0.147%
NACA 0008	8.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7413%	0.168%
NACA 0009	9.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.9051%	0.189%
NACA 0010	10.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.0931%	0.21%
NACA 0011	11.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.3045%	0.231%
NACA 0012	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.4936%	0.252%
NACA 0013	13.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.795%	0.273%
NACA 0014	14.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	2.0736%	0.294%
NACA 0015	15.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	2.3742%	0.315%
NACA 0015 by profile	15.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	2.3742%	0.315%
NACA 0016	16.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	2.6964%	0.336%
NACA 0016- 30-20	16.0% at 19.8% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	4.0255%	0.336%
NACA 0016- 30-25	16.0% at 24.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.2235%	0.336%
NACA 0016- Mod,	16.0% at 9.9% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	8.0508%	0.336%
NACA 0016- Mod,30-20	16.0% at 19.8% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	4.023%	0.336%
NACA 0016- Mod,40-30	16.0% at 22.3% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.578%	0.336%
NACA 0016- Mod,40-32	16.0% at 23.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.3562%	0.336%
NACA 0016- Mod,40-35	16.0% at 26.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.0721%	0.336%
NACA 0018	18.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.4054%	0.378%
NACA 0018- Mod,40-30	18.0% at 22.3% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	4.5312%	0.378%
NACA 0018- Mod,40-32	18.0% at 23.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	4.2464%	0.378%
NACA 0018- Mod,40-35	18.0% at 26.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	3.8849%	0.378%
NACA 0020	20.0% at 29.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	4.2002%	0.42%
NACA 11-H-09	8.97% at 39.8% of the chord	4.51% at 30.0% of the chord	0.5329%	0.0%
NACA 1412	11.99% at 30.1% of the chord	1.0% at 40.0% of the chord	1.3141%	0.245%
NACA 16018	18.0% at 49.6% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.5688%	0.36%
NACA 1-H-15	14.72% at 40.0% of the chord	5.5% at 40.0% of the chord	1.4307%	0.0%
NACA 2 R 12	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	1.98% at 30.0% of the chord	1.4436%	0.0%
NACA 2 R1 12	12.0% at 30.0% of the chord	1.98% at 30.0% of the chord	1.4436%	0.0%
NACA 2,5411	11.0% at 29.8% of the chord	2.5% at 39.6% of the chord	1.2891%	0.0%
NACA 23012 12%	12.0% at 29.5% of the chord	1.83% at 13.0% of the chord	1.5162%	0.252%
NACA 23016	15.99% at 30.4% of the chord	1.22% at 14.9% of the chord	2.6427%	0.3356%
NACA 2409-34	9.0% at 40.2% of the chord	2.0% at 40.2% of the chord	0.3842%	0.1796%
NACA 2410	10.01% at 29.8% of the chord	1.93% at 41.0% of the chord	1.097%	0.2096%
NACA 2412	12.01% at 29.9% of the chord	1.92% at 41.7% of the chord	1.5475%	0.2514%
NACA 2413	13.01% at 29.9% of the chord	1.91% at 41.7% of the chord	1.8071%	0.2724%
NACA 2414	14.0% at 29.8% of the chord	2.0% at 39.6% of the chord	2.0428%	0.294%
NACA 2414- Mod,	14.0% at 29.8% of the chord	0.19% at 4.7% of the chord	2.0818%	0.294%
NACA 2415	15.01% at 29.9% of the chord	1.9% at 41.8% of the chord	2.3917%	0.3144%
NACA 2-H-15	14.72% at 40.0% of the chord	4.5% at 40.0% of the chord	1.5204%	0.0%
NACA 4415bis	15.02% at 29.4% of the chord	3.69% at 42.5% of the chord	2.3979%	0.3124%
NACA 4-H-12,4	12.76% at 40.0% of the chord	4.44% at 40.0% of the chord	1.2809%	0.0%

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

NACA 5-H-10	9.9% at 40.0% of the chord	2.25% at 40.0% of the chord	0.7581%	0.0%
NACA 5-H-15	15.42% at 40.0% of the chord	2.92% at 30.0% of the chord	1.2092%	0.0%
NACA 5-H-20	19.9% at 40.0% of the chord	4.45% at 40.0% of the chord	2.3803%	0.0%
NACA 63(2)-215 MOD B	15.04% at 30.0% of the chord	1.49% at 10.0% of the chord	1.3861%	0.0%
NACA 63012A	11.99% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.7627%	0.05%
NACA 63-015A	14.99% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.2413%	0.064%
NACA 63-018	18.0% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.7538%	0.0%
NACA 63-210	10.0% at 35.0% of the chord	1.12% at 59.0% of the chord	0.5473%	0.0%
NACA 63-212	12.0% at 35.1% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.8497%	0.0%
NACA 63-215	15.0% at 35.1% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	1.299%	0.0%
NACA 63-412	15.0% at 35.1% of the chord	2.21% at 50.0% of the chord	1.3216%	0.0%
NACA 63A010	9.99% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.5058%	0.042%
NACA 63A018	18.0% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.7538%	0.0%
NACA 63A612	12.0% at 36.3% of the chord	3.81% at 54.6% of the chord	0.9518%	0.05%
NACA 64(1)-212	11.96% at 40.0% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	0.8087%	0.0%
NACA 64(1)-212 MOD A	11.96% at 41.1% of the chord	2.45% at 21.4% of the chord	3.0097%	0.0%
NACA 64(1)-212 MOD B	12.05% at 35.1% of the chord	1.49% at 10.1% of the chord	1.3903%	0.0%
NACA 64-008A	8.0% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.298%	0.036%
NACA 64-012A	11.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.741%	0.05%
NACA 6409	9.03% at 29.4% of the chord	5.87% at 41.0% of the chord	0.9439%	0.1854%
NACA 64-108	7.98% at 40.0% of the chord	0.55% at 50.0% of the chord	0.3126%	0.0%
NACA 6411	11.03% at 29.5% of the chord	5.77% at 41.0% of the chord	1.3743%	0.2266%
NACA 64-110	9.98% at 40.0% of the chord	0.55% at 50.0% of the chord	0.5231%	0.0%
NACA 6412	12.01% at 30.1% of the chord	6.0% at 39.6% of the chord	1.5164%	0.124%
NACA 6413	13.04% at 29.6% of the chord	5.73% at 41.8% of the chord	1.8582%	0.2678%
NACA 642-015	14.96% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.253%	0.0%
NACA 642-015A	14.97% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.2181%	0.064%
NACA 64-215	14.96% at 35.1% of the chord	1.1% at 50.0% of the chord	1.3084%	0.0%
NACA 64A-010 10,0%	9.99% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.6322%	0.0%
NACA 64A018	17.96% at 35.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.8207%	0.0%
NACA 65019	18.91% at 30.3% of the chord	5.31% at 26.5% of the chord	4.1584%	0.3956%
NACA 65-019	19.0% at 40.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.7477%	0.0%
NACA 66,2-(1,8)12 A=.6 P-51 TIP	12.0% at 45.0% of the chord	1.33% at 47.5% of the chord	0.8501%	0.0%
NACA 66,2-(1,8)15,5 A=.6 P-51 ROOT	15.5% at 45.0% of the chord	1.33% at 47.5% of the chord	1.3498%	0.0%
NACA 66,2-(1,8)12 A=.6	12.0% at 45.0% of the chord	1.33% at 47.5% of the chord	0.8504%	0.0%
NACA 66,2-(1,8)15,5 A=.6	15.5% at 45.0% of the chord	1.33% at 47.5% of the chord	1.3499%	0.0%
NACA 66-018	18.0% at 45.0% of the chord	0.0% at 87.6% of the chord	1.7563%	0.0%
NACA 66-021	21.0% at 45.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	2.1032%	0.0%

Note:

N 11 (U.S. Navy (USA));
 N 22 (U.S. Navy (USA));
 N 60 (U.S. Navy (USA));
 N 60 R (U.S. Navy (USA));
 N 9 (U.S. Navy (USA));
 NACA 0006 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0007 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0008 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0009 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0010 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0011 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0013 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0014 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0015 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0015 by profile (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0016 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0016- 30-20 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0016- 30-25 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0016- Mod, (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0016- Mod,30-20 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0016- Mod,40-30 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0016- Mod,40-32 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0016- Mod,40-35 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0018 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 0018- Mod,40-30 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0018- Mod,40-32 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0018- Mod,40-35 (Generato da Profili - Mod.);
 NACA 0020 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 16018 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 2 R 12 (USA);

Impact Factor:


ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

NACA 2 R1 12 (USA);
 NACA 23016 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 2409-34 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 2410 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 2412 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 2413 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 2414- Mod, (- Mod.);
 NACA 2415 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 4415bis (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 4-H-12,4 (USA);
 NACA 63-018 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 63A018 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 63A612 (By Naca.exe D. LEDNICER);
 NACA 6409 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 6411 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 6413 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 64A018 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 65019 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 65-019 (Generato da Profili);
 NACA 66,2-(1.8)12 A=.6 (P-51 TIP AIRFOIL);
 NACA 66,2-(1.8)15.5 A=.6 (P-51 ROOT AIRFOIL).

Table 2. The geometric shapes of the airfoils in the cross section.



Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

N632-615

N641A012

N652-015

NA0006T

NA0018T

NA012-63

NA012-B

NA23009

NA23012F

NA2R1-12

NA5

NA63-009

NA63-206

NA63-210

NA63A410

NA63A413

NA63A510

NA63A513

NA63A610

NA63A613

N63A-010

N651-012

N-7

NA0012T

NA010-34

NA012-64

NA10

NA23012

NA23112

NA2R2-12

NA6

NA63-010

NA63-209

NA63A006

NA63A411

NA63A414

NA63A511

NA63A514

NA63A611

NA63A614

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350



NA64-006	NA64-009
NA64-010	NA641012
NA641112	NA64A010
NA65-009	NA65-010
NA66-018	NA7
NA8	NA9
NACA 0006	NACA 0007
NACA 0008	NACA 0009
NACA 0010	NACA 0011
NACA 0012	NACA 0013
NACA 0014	NACA 0015
NACA 0015 by profile	NACA 0016
NACA 0016- 30-20	NACA 0016- 30-25
NACA 0016- Mod,	NACA 0016- Mod,30-20
NACA 0016- Mod,40-30	NACA 0016- Mod,40-32
NACA 0016- Mod,40-35	NACA 0018
NACA 0018- Mod,40-30	NACA 0018- Mod,40-32
NACA 0018- Mod,40-35	NACA 0020
NACA 11-H-09	NACA 1412

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350





Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350



Results and discussion

The calculated pressure contours on the surfaces of the airfoils at different angles of attack are presented in the Figs. 1-159. The calculated values on the scale can be represented as the basic values when comparing the pressure drop under conditions of changing the angle of attack of the airfoils.

159 airfoils of the airplane wings were considered. The studied airfoils were both asymmetrical and symmetrical (for example, N16006PR, N631-012, NA0006T, NA010-34, NA012-B, NA63A006, NACA 0006, NACA 0015 by profile, NACA 0016-Mod, NACA 63012A, NACA 642-015, NACA 64A-010 10,0% and other airfoils).

The rational geometry of the airfoil of the airplane wing allows for high lift and low drag coefficient. The geometry analysis allows you to select a number of airfoils for a detailed assessment of the aerodynamic characteristics of the airplane wings. The maximum thickness along the chord is observed for N16021PR (21.0%) and NACA 66-021 (21.0%), the minimum thickness along the chord is observed

for NA63A006 (5.97%) from the considered airfoils. The airfoils of the airplane wings with the camber have the better aerodynamic characteristics. The camber of the N-24 airfoil is 8.61%, and the camber of all symmetrical airfoils is 0.0% relative to the length of the chord, which is the largest and smallest ratios among all the studied airfoils, respectively. Increasing the radius of the leading edge of the airfoil increases drag. The largest and smallest leading edge radii are 8.0508% and 0.2159% for the NACA 0016-Mod and NA63-206 airfoils, respectively. The minimum thickness of the trailing edge of some airfoils is 0.0%. The maximum thickness of the trailing edge (0.42%) is determined for the N16021PR and NACA 0020 airfoils.

Consideration of the calculation results for each airfoil will lead to a significant increase in the volume of the article. Therefore, let us consider in detail the change in pressure on the surfaces of several proposed airfoils under conditions of changing the angle of attack: N 60 R, N2311275, NA0006T, NA012-B,

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
 PIHII (Russia) = 3.939
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
 PIF (India) = 1.940
 IBI (India) = 4.260
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

NA23012F, NACA 0016-Mod, NACA 11-H-09, NACA 16018, NACA 2-H-15 and NACA 65019.

The N 60 R airfoil is characterized by an increase in the value of negative pressure on the leading edge at a negative angle of attack and an increase in the area of negative pressure on the leading edge and the upper surface.

The opposite pattern is observed when the N2311275 airfoil flows around the air in the conditions of the airplane maneuvers. At the same time, the contact surfaces of the airplane wing are subjected to less pressure, compared with the N 60 R airfoil. The difference in maximum pressures during the climb and descent of the airplane is no more than 15%.

The value of positive pressure on the contact surfaces of the NA0006T airfoil practically does not change at zero, positive and negative angles of attack. The pressure difference during the climb and descent of the airplane is minimal. This indicates a slight lifting force of the airplane wing. Thus, this airfoil is rationally used for high-speed horizontal flights.

Due to the fact that the NA012-B airfoil is symmetrical, negative pressure on the leading edge has the same value at angles of attack of 15 and -15 degrees. The large radius of the leading edge leads to some reduction in drag.

Due to the specific shape of the upper surface of the NA23012F airfoil, pressure varies significantly depending on the angle of attack. Negative pressure is

formed on this surface at a positive angle of attack, and positive pressure is formed at a negative angle of attack.

During horizontal flight of the airplane with the wing having the NACA 0016-Mod airfoil in the cross section, zones of maximum negative pressure on the upper and lower surfaces are formed in the thickening area. As with most symmetrical airfoils, maximum negative pressure develops during maneuvers on the leading edge.

The convex upper surface of the NACA 11-H-09 airfoil ensures the formation of pressure of the smaller value during the airplane descent. The pressure difference on the surfaces during the airplane climb changes by 8 times, which indicates a good lifting force of the wing.

The NACA 16018 airfoil of the barrel shape forms a positive pressure on the leading and trailing edges. Under the conditions of symmetry of the airfoil, negative pressure decreases slightly in the value during the airplane descent.

The NACA 2-H-15 airfoil at an angle of attack of 0 degrees from the convex surface is subjected to both positive and negative pressures. The lifting force of the airfoil is low.

The configuration of the NACA 65019 airfoil leads to an increase in negative pressure on the leading edge at a negative angle of attack. The lifting force of the airplane wing is low during the climb.




Figure 1. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N 11 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 2. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N 22 airfoil.




Figure 3. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N 60 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 4. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N 60 R airfoil.




Figure 5. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N 9 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 6. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-10 airfoil.




Figure 7. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-12 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 8. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-13 airfoil.




Figure 9. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-14 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 10. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N16006PR airfoil.




Figure 11. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N16009PR airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 12. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N16012PR airfoil.




Figure 13. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N16015PR airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 14. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N16018PR airfoil.




Figure 15. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N16021PR airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 16. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-22P airfoil.




Figure 17. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N2311275 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 18. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-24 airfoil.




Figure 19. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N631-012 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 20. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N631-212 airfoil.




Figure 21. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N631A012 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 22. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N631A412 airfoil.




Figure 23. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N631A512 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 24. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N631A612 airfoil.




Figure 25. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N632-215 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 26. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N632-415 airfoil.




Figure 27. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N632-615 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 28. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N63A-010 airfoil.




Figure 29. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N641A012 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 30. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N651-012 airfoil.




Figure 31. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N652-015 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 32. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the N-7 airfoil.




Figure 33. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA0006T airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 34. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA0012T airfoil.




Figure 35. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA0018T airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 36. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA010-34 airfoil.




Figure 37. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA012-63 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 38. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA012-64 airfoil.




Figure 39. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA012-B airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 40. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA10 airfoil.




Figure 41. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA23009 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 42. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA23012 airfoil.




Figure 43. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA23012F airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 44. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA23112 airfoil.




Figure 45. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA2R1-12 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 46. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA2R2-12 airfoil.




Figure 47. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA5 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 48. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA6 airfoil.




Figure 49. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63-009 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 50. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63-010 airfoil.




Figure 51. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63-206 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 52. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63-209 airfoil.




Figure 53. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63-210 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 54. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A006 airfoil.




Figure 55. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A410 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 56. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A411 airfoil.




Figure 57. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A413 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 58. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A414 airfoil.




Figure 59. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A510 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 60. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A511 airfoil.




Figure 61. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A513 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 62. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A514 airfoil.




Figure 63. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A610 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 64. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A611 airfoil.




Figure 65. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A613 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 66. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA63A614 airfoil.




Figure 67. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA64-006 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 68. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA64-009 airfoil.




Figure 69. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA64-010 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 70. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA641012 airfoil.




Figure 71. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA641112 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 72. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA64A010 airfoil.




Figure 73. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA65-009 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 74. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA65-010 airfoil.




Figure 75. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA66-018 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 76. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA7 airfoil.




Figure 77. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA8 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 78. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NA9 airfoil.




Figure 79. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0006 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 80. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0007 airfoil.




Figure 81. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0008 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 82. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0009 airfoil.




Figure 83. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0010 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 84. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0011 airfoil.




Figure 85. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0012 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 86. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0013 airfoil.




Figure 87. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0014 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 88. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0015 airfoil.




Figure 89. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0015 by profile airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 90. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016 airfoil.




Figure 91. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016-30-20 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 92. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016- 30-25 airfoil.




Figure 93. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016- Mod, airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 94. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016- Mod,30-20 airfoil.




Figure 95. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016- Mod,40-30 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 96. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016- Mod,40-32 airfoil.




Figure 97. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0016- Mod,40-35 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 98. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0018 airfoil.




Figure 99. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0018- Mod,40-30 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 100. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0018- Mod,40-32 airfoil.




Figure 101. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0018- Mod,40-35 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 102. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 0020 airfoil.




Figure 103. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 11-H-09 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 104. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 1412 airfoil.




Figure 105. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 16018 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 106. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 1-H-15 airfoil.




Figure 107. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2 R 12 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 108. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2 R12 airfoil.




Figure 109. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2,5411 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 110. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 23012 12% airfoil.




Figure 111. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 23016 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 112. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2409-34 airfoil.




Figure 113. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2410 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 114. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2412 airfoil.




Figure 115. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2413 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 116. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2414 airfoil.




Figure 117. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2414- Mod, airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 118. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2415 airfoil.




Figure 119. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 2-H-15 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 120. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 4415bis airfoil.




Figure 121. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 4-H-12,4 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 122. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 5-H-10 airfoil.




Figure 123. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 5-H-15 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 124. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 5-H-20 airfoil.




Figure 125. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63(2)-215 MOD B airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 126. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63012A airfoil.




Figure 127. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63-015A airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 128. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63-018 airfoil.




Figure 129. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63-210 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 130. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63-212 airfoil.




Figure 131. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63-215 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 132. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63-412 airfoil.




Figure 133. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63A010 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 134. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63A018 airfoil.




Figure 135. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 63A612 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 136. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64(1)-212 airfoil.




Figure 137. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64(1)-212 MOD A airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 138. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64(1)-212 MOD B airfoil.




Figure 139. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64-008A airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 140. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64-012A airfoil.




Figure 141. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 6409 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 142. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64-108 airfoil.




Figure 143. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 6411 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 144. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64-110 airfoil.




Figure 145. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 6412 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 146. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 6413 airfoil.




Figure 147. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 642-015 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 148. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 642-015A airfoil.




Figure 149. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64-215 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 150. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64A-010 10,0% airfoil.




Figure 151. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 64A018 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

SISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 152. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 65019 airfoil.




Figure 153. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 65-019 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 154. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 66,2-(1,8)12 A=,6 P-51 TIP airfoil.




Figure 155. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 66,2-(1,8)15,5 A=,6 P-51 ROOT airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 156. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 66,2-(1.8)12 A=.6 airfoil.




Figure 157. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 66,2-(1.8)15.5 A=.6 airfoil.

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 158. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 66-018 airfoil.




Figure 159. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the NACA 66-021 airfoil.

Impact Factor:	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

Conclusion

The optimal configuration of the airfoil is selected based on the best calculated aerodynamic characteristics of the wing, in particular the size of the leading and trailing edges and the ratio of pressures on the upper and lower surfaces. The maximum thickening of the airfoil at a distance up to the middle

of the chord length ensures a reduction in drag on the leading edge during the airplane maneuvers. It is also illustratively proved that airfoils with the large camber have the large lifting force, expressed by the small value of the ratio of negative/positive pressures on the airfoil surfaces.

References:

1. Anderson, J. D. (2010). *Fundamentals of Aerodynamics*. McGraw-Hill, Fifth edition.
2. Shevell, R. S. (1989). *Fundamentals of Flight*. Prentice Hall, Second edition.
3. Houghton, E. L., & Carpenter, P. W. (2003). *Aerodynamics for Engineering Students*. Fifth edition, Elsevier.
4. Lan, E. C. T., & Roskam, J. (2003). *Airplane Aerodynamics and Performance*. DAR Corp.
5. Sadraey, M. (2009). *Aircraft Performance Analysis*. VDM Verlag Dr. Müller.
6. Anderson, J. D. (1999). *Aircraft Performance and Design*. McGraw-Hill.
7. Roskam, J. (2007). *Airplane Flight Dynamics and Automatic Flight Control, Part I*. DAR Corp.
8. Etkin, B., & Reid, L. D. (1996). *Dynamics of Flight, Stability and Control*. Third Edition, Wiley.
9. Stevens, B. L., & Lewis, F. L. (2003). *Aircraft Control and Simulation*. Second Edition, Wiley.
10. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Pressure distribution on the surfaces of the NACA 0012 airfoil under conditions of changing the angle of attack. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 09 (101), 601-606.
11. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Stressed state of surfaces of the NACA 0012 airfoil at high angles of attack. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (102), 601-604.
12. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter A (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (102), 943-958.
13. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter A (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 11 (103), 656-675.
14. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter B. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 11 (103), 1001-1076.
15. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter C. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 12 (104), 814-844.
16. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter D. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 12 (104), 1244-1274.
17. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils (hydrofoils) having the names beginning with the letter E (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 01 (105), 501-569.
18. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils (hydrofoils) having the names beginning with the letter E (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 01 (105), 601-671.
19. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter F. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 02 (106), 101-135.
20. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter G (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 03 (107), 701-784.
21. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter G (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 03 (107), 901-984.
22. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter G (the third part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 04 (108), 401-484.
23. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils

Impact Factor:	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

- having the names beginning with the letter H (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 05 (109), 201-258.
24. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter H (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 05 (109), 529-586.
 25. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter I. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 06 (110), 1-7.
 26. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter J. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 06 (110), 18-25.
 27. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter K. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 07 (111), 1-10.
 28. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter L. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 07 (111), 101-118.
 29. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter M. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (114), 307-392.

Impact Factor:	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350
