

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
ПИИИ (Russia) = 0.191
ESJI (KZ) = 8.100
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

SOI: [1.1/TAS](#) DOI: [10.15863/TAS](#)

International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science

p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) e-ISSN: 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2024 Issue: 12 Volume: 140

Published: 03.12.2024 <http://T-Science.org>

Issue

Article



Dilfuza Sayidmakhamadzhonovna Sayidyrakhimova
KUMU IFF

candidate of philological sciences, professor of RAE.,
deputy dean for science, Kyrgyz Republic Osh
saidrahimova71@mail.ru

STUDY OF ADVERBIAL LEXEMES IN KYRGYZ AND UZBEK LINGUISTICS

Abstract: This study explores the adverbial lexemes in the Kyrgyz and Uzbek languages, focusing on their morphological, syntactic, and semantic characteristics. Adverbs play a crucial role in both languages by modifying verbs, adjectives, and other adverbs, thereby enriching the sentence structure and providing nuanced meanings. Through a synchronous-comparative analysis, this research identifies similarities and differences in the formation, usage, and functions of adverbial expressions in Kyrgyz and Uzbek.

The methodology includes a qualitative analysis of authentic texts, linguistic corpora, and existing literature on Turkic languages. Key findings reveal that while both languages share a common Turkic heritage, they exhibit distinct morphological patterns and syntactic structures in their adverbial forms. For instance, the study highlights the use of suffixes in forming adverbs in both languages, with specific attention to the variations in derivational processes.

Furthermore, the semantic analysis indicates that cultural and contextual factors significantly influence the use of adverbial lexemes, reflecting the unique linguistic identity of each language. This research contributes to the understanding of adverbial usage in Kyrgyz and Uzbek and offers insights into the broader field of Turkic linguistics.

The findings underscore the importance of adverbs in expressing temporal, manner, degree, and frequency aspects within sentences, emphasizing their role in enhancing communication. The study concludes with implications for further research on comparative linguistics and language teaching methodologies in the context of Central Asian languages.

Key words: Adverbial lexemes, Kyrgyz language, Uzbek language, comparative linguistics, Turkic languages, morphology, syntax, semantics.

Language: English

Citation: Sayidyrakhimova, D.S. (2024). Study of adverbial lexemes in Kyrgyz and Uzbek linguistics. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 12 (140), 22-24.

Soi: <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-12-140-4> **Doi:**  <https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2024.12.140.4>

Scopus ASCC: 1203.

Introduction

This article investigates the adverbial lexemes in Kyrgyz and Uzbek languages, examining their morphological, syntactic, and semantic characteristics. By conducting a comparative analysis, this study aims to highlight the similarities and differences in the formation and usage of adverbs in these two Turkic languages. The findings provide valuable insights into the linguistic structures of Kyrgyz and Uzbek, contributing to the broader field of Turkic linguistics.

Adverbs are essential components of language that modify verbs, adjectives, and other adverbs, providing additional context such as time, manner, place, and degree. In both Kyrgyz and Uzbek, adverbs play a crucial role in sentence construction and meaning enhancement. This study aims to analyze the adverbial lexemes in these languages, focusing on their morphological forms, syntactic roles, and semantic functions.

Methodology

Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582
GIF (Australia) = 0.564
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912
ПИИИ (Russia) = 0.191
ESJI (KZ) = 8.100
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630
PIF (India) = 1.940
IBI (India) = 4.260
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

The research employs a qualitative approach, utilizing authentic texts, linguistic corpora, and existing literature on Kyrgyz and Uzbek languages. A synchronous-comparative method is applied to identify patterns and distinctions in the adverbial expressions of both languages. The analysis includes:

1. Morphological Analysis: Examining the formation of adverbs through affixation and derivation.

2. Syntactic Analysis: Investigating the placement and function of adverbs within sentence structures.

3. Semantic Analysis: Exploring the meanings conveyed by adverbial lexemes and their contextual usage.

Morphological Characteristics

In both Kyrgyz and Uzbek, adverbs are often formed from adjectives through morphological processes. Common derivational strategies include:

- Suffixation: Both languages utilize specific suffixes to convert adjectives into adverbs. For example:

- In Kyrgyz, the suffix "-ly" can be added to an adjective to form an adverb (e.g., "tez" (fast) → "tezly" (quickly)).

- In Uzbek, a similar process occurs with the suffix "-cha" (e.g., "tez" (fast) → "tezcha" (quickly)).

- Compounding: Some adverbs are formed through the combination of words, which can reflect more complex meanings or contexts.

Syntactic Characteristics

The syntactic placement of adverbs varies between Kyrgyz and Uzbek, although there are notable similarities. Generally, adverbs can occupy different positions within a sentence:

- Pre-verbal Position: Adverbs often precede the verb they modify.

- Post-verbal Position: In some cases, especially with emphasis, adverbs may follow the verb.

For example:

- Kyrgyz: "Men tez jürüm" (I run quickly).

- Uzbek: "Men tez yuguraman" (I run quickly).

Semantic Functions

Adverbial lexemes serve various semantic functions in both languages:

1. Temporal Adverbs: Indicate time (e.g., "bugün" (today) in Uzbek).

2. Manner Adverbs: Describe how an action is performed (e.g., "yaxshi" (well) in Kyrgyz).

3. Degree Adverbs: Modify the intensity of an adjective or another adverb (e.g., "juda" (very) in both languages).

4. Frequency Adverbs: Express how often an action occurs (e.g., "her zaman" (always) in Uzbek).

Cultural context significantly influences the choice of adverbial expressions, reflecting unique aspects of each language's identity.

Conclusion

This study highlights the importance of adverbial lexemes in Kyrgyz and Uzbek languages, revealing both shared features and distinct characteristics. The morphological processes, syntactic behaviors, and semantic functions of adverbs underscore their role in enriching communication.

Further research could expand on this analysis by exploring regional dialects or conducting a diachronic study to examine how adverbial usage has evolved over time. Understanding these nuances contributes not only to linguistic scholarship but also to language teaching methodologies in Central Asia.

References:

1. Aitmatov, Ch. (1986). *The Day Lasts More Than a Hundred Years. A literary work that provides insights into the use of language in Kyrgyz culture*. New York: Harper Row.
2. Baskakov, N. A. (1960). *Grammar of the Uzbek Language*. Moscow: Academy of Sciences of the USSR.
3. Baskakov, N. A. (1970). *Turkic Languages: A Comparative Study*. Moscow: Nauka.
4. Dzhumagulova, Z. (2015). "Adverbs in Kyrgyz Language: Morphological and Syntactic Aspects." *Kyrgyz Linguistics Journal*, 3(1), 45-60.
5. Iskakov, S. (2018). "The Role of Adverbs in Uzbek Syntax." *Journal of Turkic Studies*, 12(2), 102-118.
6. Khalilov, A. (2007). *Uzbek Language: Grammar and Usage*. Tashkent: Uzbekistan State University Press.
7. (2004). *Kyrgyz Language Institute. Kyrgyz Language Grammar*. Bishkek: Kyrgyz National Academy of Sciences.
8. Mamatkulov, A. (2019). "Comparative Analysis of Adverbial Structures in Kyrgyz and Uzbek." *Central Asian Linguistics Review*, 5(1), 15-29.

Impact Factor:	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 0.191	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.100	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

9. Nasyrov, B. (2016). "Semantic Functions of Adverbs in Turkic Languages." **Linguistic Studies in Central Asia*, 2(3), 78-95.
10. Rakhmanov, R. (2011). *Turkic Linguistics: An Introduction*. Almaty: Kazakh National University Press.
11. Sultanov, M. (2020). "Morphological Characteristics of Adverbs in Uzbek Language." *Uzbekistan Journal of Linguistics*, 8(4), 33-50.
12. (2012). *Tashkent State University of Oriental Studies. Uzbek Language: An Overview*. Tashkent: Tashkent State University Press.